

# Deep Learning-based Analysi of Microscopic IC Images for Hardware Assurance

Cheng Deruo

Research Scientist Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Jan 2023

### **Outline**

- Introduction to Microscopic IC Image Analysis
  - Background and Motivation
  - Key Tasks and Challenges
- Deep Learning-based IC Image Analysis
  - An Overview of Our Deep Learning-based Image Analysis Framework
  - Self-supervised Anomaly Detection with Generative Adversarial Network (GAN)
- Conclusions

# Introduction to Microscopic IC Image Analysis



### **Deep Learning (DL)-based Image Analysis Framework**



Standard Cell Detection in Poly Layer

### **Deep Learning (DL)-based Image Analysis Framework**



## **Self-supervised Anomaly Detection with GAN**



# **Self-supervised Anomaly Detection with GAN**



#### **Training Stage:**

- Alternating training between Encoder/Decoder (Generator) and Discriminator
- Generator is optimized with weighted sum of 4 loss terms
- Discriminator is optimized with adversarial loss

#### Testing Stage:

- Reconstruction loss, z-loss, and feature loss are computed for patches of input images
- 3 loss values are normalized as anomaly scores to determine anomalous images.

### Self-supervised Anomaly Detection: Score Ranking



Low anomaly score

#### Medium anomaly score

High anomaly score

### **Self-supervised Anomaly Detection: Score Ranking**



Low anomaly score

#### Medium anomaly score

High anomaly score

8

# **Self-supervised Anomaly Detection: Accuracy**

					 Anomalous regions are highlighted by high loss values (anomaly score)
Anomaly	Reconstructed	Reconstruction Score	Feature	Z-Score	

Method	AUC	<b>F1</b>	TPR	FPR
ResNet f-anoGAN [17]	0.5623	0.3013	0.1896	0.0063
ConvNet f-anoGAN	0.5638	0.3165	0.1896	0.0008
GANomaly [18]	0.9334	0.7464	0.6724	0.0118
Ours (IAD only)	0.9728	0.8348	0.7845	0.0086

Better performance than reported methods, without supervision/data labeling.

# **Joint Task on Anomaly Detection & Inpainting**

Concurrent anomaly detection and inpainting, by adding pairs of corrupted and corresponding clean images into training

		-	-	-
Anomaly	IDBP	IRCNN	TSLRA	Ours

Model	PSNR	SSIM
IDBP [26]	31.3390	0.9575
IRCNN [6]	31.2245	0.9586
TSLRA [5]	30.4554	0.9563
Ours (IAD + Inpainting)	34.4798	0.9627

Good performance on image inpainting

Method	AUC	F1	TPR	FPR
ResNet f-anoGAN [17]	0.5623	0.3013	0.1896	0.0063
ConvNet f-anoGAN	0.5638	0.3165	0.1896	0.0008
GANomaly [18]	0.9334	0.7464	0.6724	0.0118
Ours (IAD only)	0.9728	0.8348	0.7845	0.0086
<b>Ours(IAD+Inpainting)</b>	0.9927	0.9123	0.8966	0.0063

Further improve image anomaly detection

## Conclusions

 A deep learning-based framework for IC image analysis has been presented. Deep learning models can be effectively applied to retrieve the standard cells and interconnects in IC image analysis, concerning a wide variety of tasks and solutions.

• A major limitation of supervised learning models is their requirements on considerable amount of labelled data. Unsupervised or semi-supervised analysis can alleviate the data issue on certain tasks.

• A self-supervised GAN-based network has been presented for concurrent IC image anomaly detection and inpainting.